


This article was downloaded by: [Renmin University of China]

On: 13 October 2013, At: 10:37

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20>

Zinc(II) and mercury(II) complexes with Schiff bases: syntheses, spectral, and structural characterization

R.K. Dubey^a, P. Baranwal^a & A.K. Jha^b

^a Synthetic Inorganic and Metallo-organic Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Allahabad, Allahabad - 211 002, Uttar Pradesh, India

^b Department of Chemistry, G.B. College Naugachia, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur - 853204, Bihar, India

Accepted author version posted online: 06 Jun 2012. Published online: 18 Jun 2012.

To cite this article: R.K. Dubey, P. Baranwal & A.K. Jha (2012) Zinc(II) and mercury(II) complexes with Schiff bases: syntheses, spectral, and structural characterization, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 65:15, 2645-2656, DOI: [10.1080/00958972.2012.699634](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2012.699634)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2012.699634>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms &

Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Zinc(II) and mercury(II) complexes with Schiff bases: syntheses, spectral, and structural characterization

R.K. DUBEY*†, P. BARANWAL† and A.K. JHA‡

†Synthetic Inorganic and Metallo-organic Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Allahabad, Allahabad – 211 002, Uttar Pradesh, India

‡Department of Chemistry, G.B. College Naugachia, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur – 853204, Bihar, India

(Received 9 August 2012; in final form 26 April 2012)

Schiff bases *o*-vanilidene-1-aminobenzene (HL^1) and *o*-vanilidene-2-methyl-1-aminobenzene (HL^2) lead to the formation of mono- and bis- $[(Cl)Zn(L^1)]$ (1), $[(Cl)Zn(L^2)]$ (2), $[(Cl)Hg(L^1)]$ (3), $[(Cl)Hg(L^2)]$ (4), $[Zn(L^1)_2]$ (5), $[Zn(L^2)_2]$ (6), $[Hg(L^1)_2]$ (7), and $[Hg(L^2)_2]$ (8) complexes by reactions of zinc(II) and mercury(II) chlorides in different mole ratio(s). Complexes 1–8 have been characterized by elemental analyses (Zn, Hg, C, H, Cl, and N), melting point and spectral (IR, 1H -NMR), PXRD, molar conductivity measurement, and TGA. Conductivity measurements suggest non-electrolytes. Structural compositions have been assigned by mass spectral studies. Four-coordinate geometry may be assigned to these complexes tentatively. Structural study reveals that in 1–4 two metal centers are held together by two bridged (μ_2 -Cl) chlorides, whereas 5–8 contain two bidentate Schiff-base ligands around one metal-producing monomers.


Keywords: Synthesis; Schiff bases; Zn(II) and Hg(II) complexes; IR; NMR; FAB-MS; TOF-MS; PXRD; TGA; Conductivity measurement

1. Introduction

Group 12 metal complexes of Schiff bases have interest [1] for the ease of synthesis, versatility, and wide range of complexing ability [2]. They can be used as corrosion inhibitors for metals and alloys and for potential antibacterial, antiviral, and antitumor effects [3–5]. Metal complexes of biologically important ligands are sometimes more effective than free ligand [3]. Schiff bases are potential anticancer drugs [6] and when administered as their metal complexes, anticancer activity is enhanced in comparison to free ligand [7]. Two new series of complexes of zinc(II) and mercury(II) with new Schiff

*Corresponding author. Email: rajalkoxy@yahoo.com

bases, HL^1 and HL^2 , are of interest.



Zinc is involved in a number of enzymatic functions, fulfilling both structural and catalytic roles [8]. Mercury has been used as a constituent in bactericides, antiseptics, skin ointment, and laxatives [9, 10]; toxicity of mercury and its compounds are well known [11]. As part of our [12–14] interest in the synthesis and coordination chemistry of group 12 metal complexes containing Schiff bases, we describe the synthesis and characterization of new $[(Cl)M(L)]$ and $[M(L)_2]$ complexes with HL^1 (*o*-vanilidene-1-aminobenzene) and HL^2 (*o*-vanilidene-2-methyl-1-aminobenzene), where $M = Zn(II)$ and $Hg(II)$.

2. Experimental

All materials and solvents were purified by standard procedures [15]. Zinc and mercury chloride were purchased from Merck and used without purification. Elemental analyses for C, H, and N were performed on a Heraceous Carlo Erba 1108 elemental analyzer. Zinc(II) and mercury(II) were estimated by reported methods [16]. Infrared spectra of ligands and complexes, $4000\text{--}200\text{ cm}^{-1}$, were recorded in KBr pellets on a Perkin-Elmer 1000 FT-IR spectrophotometer. NMR spectra of the ligands and the complexes were recorded in $CDCl_3$ and $DMSO-d_6$, respectively, on a Bruker DRX-300 spectrometer at a sweep width of 300 MHz and a sweep time of 300 s. Molar conductances of the complexes were measured in $DMSO$ ($10^{-3}\text{ mol L}^{-1}$) using a Coronation Digital Conductivity Meter. FAB-MS spectra were recorded on a JEOL SX 102/DA-6000 mass spectrometer/data system using argon/xenon (6 kV, 10 mA) as the FAB gas and TOF-MS spectra were recorded on a Q-TOF MS instrument. The thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of the solid complex was performed using a TGA 50H thermogravimetric analyzer (Shimadzu) from ambient to 800°C with a $20^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ heating rate using N_2 . X-ray powder diffraction of the complexes was recorded on a Rigaku Model D/Max-2200 PC using $Cu\text{-K}\alpha_1$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406\text{ \AA}$).

2.1. Syntheses

2.1.1. Preparation of ligands

2.1.1.1. *o*-Vanilidene-1-aminobenzene (HL^1). Equimolar amounts of *o*-vanillin (5 g, 33.0 mmol) and aniline (3.060 g, 33.0 mmol) in methanol ($\sim 30\text{ cm}^3$) were refluxed on a

water bath for ~5 h. The analytically pure light yellow ligand was obtained. The yield of HL¹ was 5.42 g (72.6%); m.p. = 250°C. For C₁₄H₁₃NO₂ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 73.92; H, 5.72; N, 6.16. Found (%): C, 73.88; H, 5.65; N, 6.11.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 7.69–6.94 (m, 8H, CH_{ar}), 3.92 (t, 3H, OCH₃), 8.3 (s, 1H, HC=N), 13.26 (s, 1H, OH). IR spectrum, ν (cm⁻¹): 1615 (C=N), 1274 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 3445 (ν(OH)_{stretching}), 1352 (δ(OH)_{deformation}).

2.1.1.2. *o*-Vanilidene-2-methyl-1-aminobenzene (HL²). Equimolar amounts of *o*-vanillin (5 g, 33.0 mmol) and *o*-toluidine (3.54 g, 33.0 mmol) in methanol (~30 cm³) were refluxed on a water bath for ~5 h. The analytically pure light yellow ligand was obtained as 5.98 g (75.4%); m.p. = 231°C. For C₁₅H₁₅NO₂ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 74.59; H, 6.23; N, 5.80. Found (%): C, 74.50; H, 6.17; N, 5.73.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 7.9–6.8 (m, 7H, CH_{ar}), 3.9 (t, 3H, OCH₃), 2.60 (t, 3H, CH₃), 8.3 (s, 1H, HC=N), 13.16 (s, 1H, OH). IR spectrum, ν (cm⁻¹): 1617 (C=N), 1272 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 3464 (ν(OH)_{stretching}), 1355 (δ(OH)_{deformation}).

2.1.2. Synthesis of complexes

2.1.2.1. [(μ-Cl)₂Zn₂(L¹)₂] (**1**). To a suspension/solution of ZnCl₂ (0.640 g, 4.69 mmol) in THF (~30 mL), a methanolic solution of the sodium salt of Schiff base (1.170 g, 4.69 mmol) was added with constant stirring. The reaction mixture was refluxed for ~4 h, filtered to remove NaCl, and filtrate was concentrated by distillation under reduced pressure. The product so obtained was purified by recrystallization from THF (purity was further checked by TLC) to afford brown crystalline solid. The yield of the complex was 1.109 g (72.2%); decomposition point = 280°C. For C₂₈H₂₄N₂O₄Cl₂Zn₂ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 51.40; H, 3.70; N, 4.28; Cl, 10.84; Zn, 20. Found (%): C, 51.33; H, 3.65; N, 4.26; Cl, 10.82; Zn, 19.96.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 7.83–6.56 (m, 8H, CH_{ar}), 4.59 (t, 3H, OCH₃), 8.74 (s, 1H, HC=N). IR spectrum, ν (cm⁻¹): 1592 (C=N), 1292 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 1031 (OCH₃), 460 (Zn–N), 348 (Zn–O), 244 ((Zn–Cl)_{bridging}), TOF-MS: 652.18 *m/z*; calculated mass (649.97), molar conductivity: 10.34 Ω⁻¹ mol⁻¹ cm².

2.1.2.2. [(μ-Cl)₂Zn₂(L²)₂] (**2**). To a hot suspension/solution of ZnCl₂ (0.729 g, 5.35 mmol) in THF (~30 mL), a methanolic solution of the sodium salt of Schiff base (1.408 g, 5.35 mmol) was added with constant stirring. The product was obtained by the similar procedure used for **1**. The product was formed as a yellow powder, 1.289 g (70.6%); decomposition point = 295°C. For C₃₀H₂₈N₂O₄Cl₂Zn₂ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 52.81; H, 4.14; N, 4.11; Cl, 10.39; Zn, 19.17. Found (%): C, 52.75; H, 4.07; N, 4.05; Cl, 10.39; Zn, 19.13.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 8.11–7.06 (m, 7H, CH_{ar}), 4.51 (t, 3H, OCH₃), 2.77 (t, 3H, CH₃), 8.69 (s, 1H, HC=N). IR spectrum, ν (cm⁻¹): 1591 (C=N), 1295 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 1029 (OCH₃), 462 (Zn–N), 352 (Zn–O), 249 ((Zn–Cl)_{bridging}), FAB-MS: 681 *m/z*; calculated mass (678), molar conductivity: 8.97 Ω⁻¹ mol⁻¹ cm².

2.1.2.3. [(μ-Cl)₂Hg₂(L¹)₂] (**3**). To a solution of HgCl₂ (1.45 g, 5.35 mmol) in THF (~30 mL), a methanolic solution of the sodium salt of Schiff base (1.33 g, 5.35 mmol) was added with constant stirring. The product was obtained by the above process as a

reddish brown crystalline solid. The yield was 1.812 g (73.3%); decomposition point = 285°C. For $C_{28}H_{24}N_2O_4Cl_2Hg_2$ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 36.37; H, 2.62; N, 3.03; Cl, 7.67; Hg, 43.39. Found (%): C, 36.32; H, 2.62; N, 3.01; Cl, 7.63; Hg, 43.38.

The 1H -NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 8.71–6.66 (m, 8H, CH_{ar}), 4.46 (t, 3H, OCH_3), 8.61 (s, 1H, $HC=N$). IR spectrum, ν (cm^{-1}): 1588 (C=N), 1282 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 1031 (OCH_3), 413 (Hg–N), 320 (Hg–O), <200 ((Hg–Cl)_{bridging}), FAB-MS: 928 m/z ; calculated mass (926.05), molar conductivity: 11.64 $\Omega^{-1} mol^{-1} cm^2$.

2.1.2.4. $[(\mu-Cl)_2Hg_2(L^2)_2]$ (**4**). To a solution of $HgCl_2$ (1.23 g, 4.48 mmol) in THF (~30 mL), a methanolic solution of the sodium salt of Schiff base (1.18 g, 4.48 mmol) was added with constant stirring. The product was obtained as above as a blackish brown crystalline solid, 1.611 g (75%); decomposition point = 292°C. For $C_{30}H_{28}N_2O_4Cl_2Hg_2$ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 37.82; H, 2.96; N, 2.94; Cl, 7.44; Hg, 42.11. Found (%): C, 37.69; H, 2.91; N, 2.90; Cl, 7.45; Hg, 42.07.

The 1H -NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 7.79–6.83 (m, 7H, CH_{ar}), 4.39 (t, 3H, OCH_3), 2.69 (t, 3H, CH_3), 8.57 (s, 1H, $HC=N$). IR spectrum, ν (cm^{-1}): 1590 (C=N), 1278 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 1029 (OCH_3), 411 (Hg–N), 318 (Hg–O), <200 ((Hg–Cl)_{bridging}), FAB-MS: 952 m/z ; calculated mass (954.08), molar conductivity: 12.86 $\Omega^{-1} mol^{-1} cm^2$.

2.1.2.5. $[Zn(L^1)_2]$ (**5**). To a solution of $ZnCl_2$ (0.290 g, 2.13 mmol) in THF (~30 mL), a methanolic solution of the sodium salt of Schiff base (1.061 g, 4.26 mmol) in 1:2 molar ratio was added with constant stirring; separated NaCl was removed by filtration. The product was recrystallized from THF (purity further checked by TLC). A brown crystalline solid complex was obtained, 0.803 g (72.9%); decomposition point = 303°C. For $C_{28}H_{24}N_2O_4Zn$ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 64.93; H, 4.67; N, 5.41; Zn, 12.63. Found (%): C, 64.86; H, 4.66; N, 5.40; Zn, 12.62.

The 1H -NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 7.91–6.54 (m, 8H, CH_{ar}), 4.43 (t, 3H, OCH_3), 8.68 (s, 1H, $HC=N$). IR spectrum, ν (cm^{-1}): 1588 (C=N), 1289 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 1030 (OCH_3), 463 (Zn–N), 359 (Zn–O), FAB-MS: 517 m/z ; calculated mass (516.10), molar conductivity: 9.14 $\Omega^{-1} mol^{-1} cm^2$.

2.1.2.6. $[Zn(L^2)_2]$ (**6**). To a solution of $ZnCl_2$ (0.246 g, 1.81 mmol) in THF (~30 mL), a methanolic solution of the sodium salt of Schiff base (0.950 g, 3.61 mmol) in 1:2 molar ratio was added with constant stirring. The product was obtained by analogous procedure to that used for **5**. The product formed as a dark brown crystalline solid, 0.743 g (73%); decomposition point = 317°C. For $C_{30}H_{28}N_2O_4Zn$ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 66.00; H, 5.17; N, 5.13; Zn, 11.98. Found (%): C, 65.72; H, 5.08; N, 5.06; Zn, 11.94.

The 1H -NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 8.13–7.09 (m, 7H, CH_{ar}), 4.39 (t, 3H, OCH_3), 2.82 (t, 3H, CH_3), 8.64 (s, 1H, $HC=N$). IR spectrum, ν (cm^{-1}): 1593 (C=N), 1290 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 1029 (OCH_3), 464 (Zn–N), 366 (Zn–O), FAB-MS: 545 m/z ; calculated mass (544.13), molar conductivity: 14.26 $\Omega^{-1} mol^{-1} cm^2$.

2.1.2.7. $[Hg(L^1)_2]$ (**7**). To a solution of $HgCl_2$ (0.673 g, 2.48 mmol) in THF (~30 mL), a methanolic solution of the sodium salt of Schiff base (1.236 g, 4.96 mmol) in 1:2 molar ratio was added with constant stirring. The product was obtained by analogous procedure as for **5**. The product formed as a brownish black

crystalline solid, 1.192 g (73.6%); decomposition point = 305°C. For $C_{28}H_{24}N_2O_4Hg$ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 51.49; H, 3.70; N, 4.29; Hg, 30.71. Found (%): C, 51.40; H, 3.65; N, 4.31; Hg, 30.67.

The 1H -NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 8.04–6.98 (m, 8H, CH_{ar}), 4.33 (t, 3H, OCH_3), 8.49 (s, 1H, $HC=N$). IR spectrum, ν (cm^{-1}): 1584 (C=N), 1288 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 1031 (OCH_3), 407 (Hg–N), 315 (Hg–O), TOF-MS: 650.42 m/z ; calculated mass (654.14), molar conductivity: 17.17 $\Omega^{-1} mol^{-1} cm^2$.

2.1.2.8. $[Hg(L^2)_2]$ (**8**). To a solution of $HgCl_2$ (0.703 g, 2.59 mmol) in THF (~30 mL), a methanolic solution of the sodium salt of Schiff base (1.363 g, 5.17 mmol) in 1:2 molar ratio was added with constant stirring. The product was obtained as above as a brownish black crystalline solid, 1.248 g (70.8%); decomposition point = 314°C. For $C_{30}H_{28}N_2O_4Hg$ Anal. Calcd (%): C, 52.90; H, 4.14; N, 4.11; Hg, 29.45. Found (%): C, 52.82; H, 4.13; N, 4.31; Hg, 29.41.

The 1H -NMR spectrum, δ (ppm): 7.53–6.55 (m, 7H, CH_{ar}), 4.28 (t, 3H, OCH_3), 2.75 (t, 3H, CH_3), 8.44 (s, 1H, $HC=N$). IR spectrum, ν (cm^{-1}): 1589 (C=N), 1289 ((C–O)_{phenolic}), 1029 (OCH_3), 410 (Hg–N), 321 (Hg–O), FAB-MS: 681 m/z ; calculated mass (682.18), molar conductivity: 15.54 $\Omega^{-1} mol^{-1} cm^2$.

3. Results and discussion


Zinc(II) and mercury(II) complexes have been synthesized by interactions of $ZnCl_2$ and $HgCl_2$ with sodium salt of the *o*-vanilidene-1-aminobenzene (HL^1) and *o*-vanilidene-2-methyl-1-aminobenzene (HL^2) in different molar ratio to yield mono (chloro) (**1–4**) and bis complexes **5–8**. The detailed synthetic procedures of both ligands and all complexes are given in schemes 1 and 2.

These complexes are colored, non-hygroscopic solids, soluble in polar solvents such as DMF, DMSO, and pyridine. The complexes have been characterized by elemental analyses, spectral studies, and molar conductivity measurements with some studied by PXRD and thermogravimetric analysis. Conductivity measurements indicate the characteristics of nonelectrolytes, 8.97–17.17 $\Omega^{-1} mol^{-1} cm^2$, for all the studied complexes [17].

3.1. IR spectra


The tentative assignments of IR spectral bands for HL^1 , HL^2 , monochloro-, and bis-complexes are given in section 2. The ligands possess two potential donor sites, the phenolic oxygen and the azomethine nitrogen.

The ligands exhibit characteristic bands for $\nu(C=N)$ at 1615 and 1617 cm^{-1} , respectively. Bonding of the azomethine [18, 19] is indicated by the shift of $\nu(C=N)$ to lower frequency 1595–1584 cm^{-1} in the complexes. Bonding through phenolic oxygen after deprotonation is revealed by the disappearance of $\nu(OH)$ phenolic bands at 3445 and 3464 cm^{-1} for HL^1 and HL^2 , respectively, and the appearance of bands [20, 21] due to $\nu(C-O)$ at much lower frequencies (1295–1278 cm^{-1}) in the complexes. Coordination of azomethine nitrogen and phenolic oxygen is further supported by two bands [22, 23]

Scheme 1. Synthesis of L^1H and complexes.



at $366\text{--}315\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $464\text{--}407\text{ cm}^{-1}$ due to $\nu(\text{M}\text{--}\text{O})$ and $\nu(\text{M}\text{--}\text{N})$, respectively. HL^1 and HL^2 exhibit bands for $\nu(\text{OCH}_3)$ at 1031 and 1029 cm^{-1} , respectively, which remain unchanged in the complexes [24], indicating that this group does not participate in coordination.

In zinc(II) chloro complexes for bridging zinc chloride $\nu(\text{Zn}\text{--}\text{Cl})$ occurred at $249\text{--}244\text{ cm}^{-1}$ due to delocalization of electrons in the chelate ring. This band suggests bridging chloride between two zinc(II) centers; in the mercury(II) chloro complexes bridging $\nu(\text{Hg}\text{--}\text{Cl})$ occur much lower [25], $<200\text{ cm}^{-1}$, as expected [26].

Scheme 2. Synthesis of L^2H and complexes.

3.2. 1H -NMR spectra

For further evidence regarding the coordination of HL^1 and HL^2 , 1H -NMR spectra of the ligand and complexes (**1–8**) were recorded in $DMSO-d_6$. Comparison of the chemical shifts shows that the signal due to the phenolic proton (OH) is absent in the complex, suggesting coordination of the phenolic oxygen to the metal

Figure 1. TOF-MS spectrum of $[(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{Zn}_2(\text{L}^1)_2]$ (1).Figure 2. FAB-MS spectrum of $[(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{Zn}_2(\text{L}^2)_2]$ (2).

after deprotonation. The azomethine proton at 8.38–8.31 ppm in the ligand appeared at 8.74–8.44 ppm in the complexes [27, 28], this supports the coordination of azomethine nitrogen to metal. These conclusions are also supported by IR spectral data. A strong signal at δ 13.26, δ 13.16 ppm may be attributed to phenolic proton of HL^1 and HL^2 , respectively, whereas $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra of the corresponding metal complexes of Zn(II) and Hg(II) are devoid of signals due to OH.




Figure 3. FAB-MS spectrum of $[(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{Hg}_2(\text{L}^1)_2]$ (**3**).




Figure 4. TOF-MS spectrum of $[\text{Hg}(\text{L}^1)_2]$ (**7**).

3.3. Mass spectrum

The mass spectra of zinc(II) and mercury(II) complexes exhibit molecular ion peaks (m/z) corresponding to their molecular association, representing monomeric and dimeric complexes. The m/z values for the eight complexes are given in section 2, whereas mass spectra for **1**, **2**, **3**, and **7** are given as figures 1–4. Spectra **1** and **4** are obtained by TOF-MS whereas **2** and **3** were recorded by FAB-MS spectrometry. In **1** and **7**, most fragment ions were observed as a group of peaks due to the presence of




Figure 5. TGA curve of $[(\text{Cl})\text{Hg}(\text{L}^2)]$ (**4**).

various isotopes of these metals and chlorides. The mass fragmentation pattern (Supplementary material) of $[(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{Zn}_2(\text{L}^1)_2]$, $[(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{Hg}_2(\text{L}^1)_2]$, and $[\text{Hg}(\text{L}^1)_2]$ confirm the composition and structure of these complexes. FAB-MS spectrum [29, 30] of **3** showed characteristic molecular ion peak for dimer $[(\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{Cl}_2\text{Hg}_2)]$ Calcd mass 926.05] at $928\ m/z$ due to various isotopes including mercury and chloride [12]. Various peaks represent successive degradation of the complex by formation of a monomer, for which a molecular ion peak was observed at $464\ m/z$. The TOF-MS spectra of **1** and **7** show molecular ion peaks at 652.18 (Calcd 649.97) and 650.42 (Calcd 654.14), respectively. Other important peaks were also observed at m/z 572.93, 546.93, 516.92, 346.84, 423.88, 362.84, 290.83, 247.95, 221.94, 213.79, 191.93, and 114.89 due to the formation of various radicals $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{12}\text{ClNHO}_2\text{Zn}^\bullet$, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5^\bullet$, HCN , ZnCl^\bullet , OCH_3^\bullet , $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}^\bullet$, O^\bullet , in **1**, whereas **7** showed some prominent peaks at m/z 623.07, 593.04, 577.00, 549.97, 515.94, 472.87, 446, 438.83, 416.82, 412.81, 386.80, and 293.69 due to the formation of various radicals $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5^\bullet$, CH_3^\bullet , HCN , Hg^\bullet .

[Hg(L¹)₂] (7) confirm the dimeric and monomeric molecular association. Molar conductivity measurement indicates that the complexes are non-electrolytes.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Director, C.D.R.I., Lucknow, for providing spectral and analytical data and also IIT, Delhi, for thermal analysis. Nanophosphor Application Center, University of Allahabad, is also acknowledged for PXRD studies of one of the compounds.

References

- [1] Z.L. You, H.L. Zhu, W.S.Z. Liu. *Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **630**, 1617 (2004); (b) Z.L. You, H.L. Shu. *Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **630**, 2754 (2004).
- [2] R.H. Holm. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **82**, 5632 (1960).
- [3] S. Yurdakul, M. Kurt. *J. Mol. Struct.*, **650**, 181 (2003).
- [4] F. Saczewski, E. Dziemidowicz-Borys, P.J. Bednarski, R. Grunert, M. Gdaniec, P. Tabin. *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **100**, 1389 (2006).
- [5] P. Kopel, J. Kamenicek, V. Petrieek, A. Kureeka, B. Kalinska, J. Mrozinski. *Polyhedron*, **26**, 535 (2007).
- [6] (a) D. Kessel, A.F.A. Sayyab, E.M.H. Jaffar, A.H.H.A. Lanil. *Iraqi J. Sci.*, **22**, 312 (1981); (b) E.M. Hodnett, W.J. Dunn. *J. Med. Chem.*, **13**, 768 (1970).
- [7] (a) E.M. Hodnett, W.J. Dunn. *J. Med. Chem.*, **15**, 339 (1972); (b) J. Chakraborty, R.N. Patel. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **73**, 191 (1996).
- [8] B.L. Vallee, D.S. Auld. In *Interface Between Chemistry & Biology*, P. Jolles, H. Jornvall (Eds), p. 259, Verlag, Basel (1995).
- [9] A.K. Das, S. Seth. *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **65**, 207 (1977).
- [10] J.J. Vostal, R.W. Clarkson. *J. Occup. Med.*, **15**, 649 (1973).
- [11] L. Magos. In *Handbook of Toxicity of Inorganic Compounds*, H.G. Seiler, H. Sigel, A. Sigel (Eds), Marcel Dekker, New York (1998).
- [12] R.K. Dubey, P. Baranwal, S.K. Dwivedi, U.N. Tripathi. *J. Coord. Chem.*, **64**, 2649 (2011).
- [13] R.K. Dubey, P. Baranwal. *Main Group Met. Chem.*, **32**, 321 (2009).
- [14] P. Baranwal, D. Phil. Thesis, A.U., Allahabad (2009).
- [15] W.L.F. Argarego, D.D. Perrin. *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, 4th Edn, Butterworth, Henemann, Oxford (1997).
- [16] A.I. Vogel. *A Text Book of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis*, 4th Edn, Longmans Green Co. Ltd., London (1972).
- [17] (a) W.J. Geary. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **7**, 81 (1971); (b) S. Srivastava, A. Kalam, H.S. Gupta, D. Gupta. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **77**, 371 (2007); (c) D. Feng, B. Feng. *Trans. Met. Chem.*, **18**, 101 (1993).
- [18] S.I. Mostafa, T.H. Rakha, M.M.E.L. Agex. *Indian J. Chem.*, **39A**, 1301 (2000).
- [19] R.K. Dubey, A.N. Mishra, C.M. Mihsra. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India*, **75A**, 239 (2005).
- [20] N.S. Biradar, N.A. Pujar, V.R. Marathe. *Indian J. Chem.*, **9A**, 712 (1971).
- [21] P.B. Chakrawarti, P. Khanna. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **61**, 112 (1984).
- [22] A. Majumder, G.M. Rosair, A. Mallick, N. Chatopadhyay, S. Mitra. *Polyhedron*, **25**, 1753 (2006).
- [23] S. Basak, S. Sen, S. Banerjee, S. Mitra, G. Rosair, M.T. Garland Rodriguez. *Polyhedron*, **26**, 5104 (2007).
- [24] M.S. Singh, P. Nanayan. *Indian J. Chem.*, **411**, 89 (1991).
- [25] I.S. Ahuja, R. Singh, C.L. Yadava. *Curr. Sci.*, **50**, 317 (1981).
- [26] S.C. Jain, R. Rivest. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **4**, 291 (1970).
- [27] T.N. Srivastava, A.K.S. Chauhan, B.K. Dwivedi. *Indian J. Chem.*, **19A**, 269 (1980).
- [28] R. Malhotra, S. Kumar, K.S. Dhindsa. *Indian J. Chem.*, **36A**, 321 (1997).
- [29] (a) R.K. Dubey. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **83**, 1087 (2006); (b) R.K. Dubey, C.M. Mishra, P. Baranwal. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **85**, 387 (2008); (c) R.K. Dubey, U.K. Dubey, C.M. Mishra. *Trans. Met. Chem.*, **31**, 849 (2006); (d) R.K. Dubey, A.K. Mishra, A. Mariya, N. Kumari. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **87**, 1047 (2010).
- [30] S.S. Haggag. *Indian J. Chem.*, **46A**, 582 (2007).
- [31] A.R. West. *Solid Chemistry and its Application*, p. 173, John Wiley and Sons, New Delhi (1998).
- [32] M.C. Bernard, R. Cortes, M. Keddou, H. Takenouti, P. Bernard, S. Senyarich. *J. Power Resources*, **63**, 247 (1996).
- [33] Y. Ding, G. Zhang, H. Wu, B. Hai, I. Wang, Y. Qian. *Chem. Mater.*, **13**, 435 (2001).
- [34] B.D. Cullity. *Elements of X-ray Diffraction*, Addison-Wesley, Reading (1978).